## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

HELEN STOKES, on behalf of herself and all other similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

REALPAGE, INC.,

Defendant.

JAMES JENKINS, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

REALPAGE, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:15-cv-01520-JP



Case No. 2:15-cv-03894-JP

## **FINAL APPROVAL ORDER**

This matter, having come before the Court on Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of the proposed class action settlement with Defendant RealPage, Inc.; the Court having considered all papers filed and arguments made with respect to the settlement, and having provisionally certified, by Order entered August 3, 2017 (ECF 55), three Settlement Classes, and the Court, being fully advised in the premises, finds that:

1. The Court finds that certification for settlement purposes of the three classes defined by the Settlement Agreement proposed by the Parties in this case (ECF 51-2) is appropriate pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(a) and 23(b).

- 2. Notice to the Settlement Classes required by Rule 23(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure has been provided in accordance with the Court's Preliminary Approval Order and a subsequent Order entered August 29, 2017 approving revised forms of notice to the Settlement Classes (ECF 58). Such Notice has been given in an adequate and sufficient manner; constitutes the best notice practicable under the circumstances; and satisfies Rule 23(e) and due process.
- 3. The Defendant has timely filed notification of this settlement with the appropriate officials pursuant to the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 ("CAFA"), 28 U.S.C. § 1715. The Court has reviewed such notification and accompanying materials, and finds that the Defendant's notification complies fully with the applicable requirements of CAFA.
- 4. The Settlement Agreement was arrived at as a result of arms-length negotiations conducted in good faith by counsel for the parties, and is supported by the Class Representatives.
- 5. The settlement as set forth in the Settlement Agreement is fair, reasonable and adequate to the members of the Settlement Classes in light of the complexity, expense and duration of litigation and the risks involved in establishing liability, damages and in maintaining the class action through trial and appeal.
- 6. The relief provided under the settlement constitutes fair value given in exchange for the release of claims.
- 7. The persons listed on Exhibit F to the Declaration of American Legal Claim Services, LLC Regarding Due Diligence in Settlement Administration have validly excluded themselves from the Settlement Classes in accordance with the provisions of the Preliminary Approval Order.

- 8. The parties and each Class Member have irrevocably submitted to the exclusive jurisdiction of this Court for any suit, action, proceeding or dispute arising out of the Settlement Agreement.
- 9. It is in the best interests of the parties and the Settlement Class Members and consistent with principles of judicial economy that any dispute between any Settlement Class Member (including any dispute as to whether any person is a Settlement Class Member) and any Released Party which in any way relates to the applicability or scope of the Settlement Agreement or the Final Judgment and Order should be presented exclusively to this Court for resolution by this Court.

## IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:

10. This action is a class action against Defendant RealPage, Inc. on behalf of three classes of consumers defined as follows (the "Settlement Classes"):

The Chart Settlement Class: All persons residing in the United States of America (including its territories and Puerto Rico) who, from November 5, 2012 through the date when the Court enters its Preliminary Approval Order, requested a copy of their consumer report from Defendant, and received with the disclosure a chart that failed to provide the full address and phone number for every agency responsible for enforcing any provision of the FCRA.

The Expungement Settlement Class: All persons residing in the United States of America (including its territories and Puerto Rico) about whom, from March 3, 2013 through the date when the Court enters its Preliminary Approval Order, Defendant prepared a consumer report which included information regarding one or more criminal cases which at the time the report was prepared by Defendant had been expunged or sealed and a Lifecycle File identified the record as having been expunged or sealed prior to the date of the report.

The Source Settlement Class: All persons residing in the United States of America (including its territories and Puerto Rico) who, from November 5, 2012 through the date when the Court enters its Preliminary Approval Order, made a request pursuant to Section 609(a) of the FCRA [15 U.S.C. § 1681g(a)] for a file copy, and received a disclosure containing a criminal record in their file which did not include the identity of the public record vendor which had retrieved the criminal record(s) included in their file disclosure.

- 11. The Settlement Agreement submitted by the parties is finally approved pursuant to Rule 23(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure as fair, reasonable and adequate and in the best interests of the Classes and the parties are directed to consummate the Settlement Agreement in accordance with its terms.
  - 12. This action is hereby dismissed on the merits, with prejudice and without costs.
- 13. As agreed by the parties in the Settlement Agreement, upon the Effective Date, the Released Parties shall be released and discharged in accordance with the Settlement Agreement.
- 14. Without affecting the finality of this judgment, the Court hereby reserves and retains jurisdiction over this settlement, including the administration and consummation of the settlement. In addition, without affecting the finality of this judgment, the Court retains exclusive jurisdiction over Defendant and each member of the Settlement Classes for any suit, action, proceeding or dispute arising out of or relating to this Order, the Settlement Agreement or the applicability of the Settlement Agreement. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, any dispute concerning the Settlement Agreement, including, but not limited to, any suit, action, arbitration or other proceeding by a Class member in which the provisions of the Settlement Agreement are asserted as a defense in whole or in part to any claim or cause of action or otherwise raised as an objection, shall constitute a suit, action or proceeding arising out of or relating to this Order. Solely for purposes of such suit, action or proceeding, to the fullest extent possible under applicable law, the parties hereto and all Settlement Class Members are hereby deemed to have irrevocably waived and agreed not to assert, by way of motion, as a defense or otherwise, any claim or objection that they are not subject to the jurisdiction of this Court, or that this Court is, in any way, an improper venue or an inconvenient forum.

## Cesse 2:45-cv-03826-3P DOCUMENTE 1-2 FIRE CO 1020 A Page 5 of 5

- 15. Upon consideration of Class Counsel's application for fees and reimbursement of expenses, the Court shall enter a separate Order awarding reasonable fees and expenses, to be paid from the Settlement Funds, in an amount to be set forth in that Order.
- 16. Upon consideration of the application for an individual service award, the Class Representatives, Helen Stokes and James Jenkins, are each awarded the sum of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000.00), to be paid from the Settlement Funds, in consideration of the valuable service they have performed for and on behalf of the Settlement Classes.
- 17. The Court finds, pursuant to Rule 54(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, that there is no just reason for delay, and directs the Clerk to enter final judgment.

BY THE COURT:

JOHN R. PADOVA

U.S.D.J.

Dated: 26 (1018